

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,798	02/12/2002	Hisao Hiramatsu	10873.872USWO	6236	
52835 75	52835 7590 05/24/2006		EXAMINER		
HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902-0902			HYUN, PAUL SANG HWA		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	*		
Office Action Summers		10/049,798	HIRAMATSU ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Paul S. Hyun	1743			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 M	av 2006				
,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
٠,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
_	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable		Examiner			
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H S C & 119/a	\-(d) or (f)			
	All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. § 115(a))-(d) Or (1).			
-/-	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	application from the International Bureau	·	ou in this Hallonar Glago			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.			
Associate in	44.3					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [7] takan dani 2000	(DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-692) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 are currently pending. Amendments made to the claims have been acknowledged. In response to a written restriction requirement mailed on 04/14/06, Applicants elected the prosecution of claims 1-7 without traverse. Consequently, claims 8-13 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner for being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitations "decides" and "deciding" recited in lines 11, 16, 20 and 28 of claim 1 are indefinite because the limitations suggest that the measuring equipment makes conscious choices in its actions. However, the measuring equipment simply follows predetermined protocols stored in the measurement condition storage means and other information storage means.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammer et al. (US 2001/0051377 A1) in view of Kalra et al. (US 5,948,359).

Hammer et al. disclose an automated cartridge-based instrument that conducts measurements. The instrument utilizes a bar-code system to automate the measurement protocols. Each cartridge container that is accommodated by the measuring instrument is assigned a unique bar code adapted to store information regarding the measurement conditions for the particular cartridge. Each cartridge is preloaded with samples and reagents (see [0008] Summary of the Invention) wherein the sample and the reagent are held separately within the cartridge, the sample held in system 76 and the reagent held in reagent pouch 98.

The instrument comprises a bar code reader 200 for reading the bar code attached to each cartridge, and a tracking and control system that conducts the measurement according to the information stored in the bar code (see [0061]). The bar code reader is disposed on cartridge carousel 140, which activates the metering of the contents of the cartridge (see [0010]). The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition defines bar codes as "a series of vertical bars of varying widths, in which each of the digits zero through nine are represented by a different pattern of bars that can be read by a laser scanner." In light of the definition, it appears that specific, non-overlapping identification number is given to each cartridge disclosed in the Hammer et al. reference.

Art Unit: 1743

The instrument further comprises a disk inlet 22 that can accept a floppy disk that communicates with a central processing unit (CPU) located in the upper housing cover 14. According to The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Fourth Edition, a floppy disk is "a flexible plastic disk coated with magnetic material and covered by a protective jacket, used primarily by computers to store data magnetically." Hammer et al. disclose that the floppy disk can be inserted into the instrument to provide software updates as well as transport other data and information into and out of the central processing unit (see [0050]). Based on the disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the floppy disk to execute the control program run by the instrument as well as transfer measurement conditions for the cartridges to the hard drive of the CPU.

Although the Hammer et al. reference does not explicitly disclose that the instrument comprises a measurement condition storage means, it is inherent that it comprises a measurement condition storage means that stores the measurement conditions stored in the bar code. If the instrument did not comprise a measurement condition storage means, then the instrument would not be able to make the transition from reading the measurement conditions stored in the bar code to conducting the measurements according to the measurement conditions.

Moreover, The Free On-Line Dictionary of Computing defines a CPU as "part of a computer that controls all other parts. The CPU also comprises memory, including RAM, cache, registers and ROM." Based on this definition, it appears that the CPU disclosed by Hammer et al. is capable of storing the measurement conditions.

The instrument disclosed by the Hammer et al. reference differs from the claimed invention in that the Hammer et al. reference does not disclose a means to process cartridges that lack bar codes.

Kalra et al. disclose an automated apparatus for staining samples disposed on microscope slides. The apparatus utilizes a bar code system to automate the staining procedure for each sample. The apparatus comprises a bar code reader for reading the specific information stored in the bar code assigned to each microscope slide. In the event that a bar-code is not properly read, or is missing, a computer is capable of identifying which slide is "missing" and a menu on the computer screen informs the operator to manually input the missing information or to re-run the scanning procedure (see lines 10-15, col. 17).

In light of the teachings of Kalra et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the CPU disclosed by Hammer et al. with a means to accommodate cartridges that lack bar codes such that in the event that a cartridge lacking a bar code is identified, the CPU informs the operator of the apparatus to manually input the missing information or to re-run the scanning procedure so that the automated processing of the cartridges is not interrupted by missing or corrupt bar codes.

In regards to claim 4, although the Hammer et al. reference does not explicitly disclose that the measurement condition storage means stores the measurement conditions for each cartridge in separate areas, CPUs are well-known to be capable of creating separate, easily-identifiable folders for storing specific information

Art Unit: 1743

corresponding to these folders. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to set up the CPU such that the measurement conditions for each cartridge is stored in a separate folder for organizational purposes.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hammer et al. in view of Petersen et al. (US 2001/0012612 A1). Hammer et al. disclose the measuring equipment of claim 1, but the reference does not disclose a waste vessel disposed in the cartridge container to store waste liquid.

Petersen et al. disclose a cartridge adapted to be used for analyzing fluid samples stored therein. The multi-vessel cartridge comprises a chamber 68 that is used to store waste. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a waste vessel as taught by Petersen et al. to the cartridge disclosed by Hammer et al. so that waste material from the analysis can be separated for easy disposal.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Devlin et al. (US 2002/0064884 A1).

Devlin et al. disclose an automated analytical instrument that conducts measurements of the contents of the cartridges. The instrument utilizes a bar code system to automate the measurement process.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,798

Art Unit: 1743

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendments made to claim 1

changed the scope of claims 1-7, which necessitated new grounds of rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Paul S. Hyun whose telephone number is (571)-272-

8559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PSH 5/15/06

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Page 7